

UACC Procedures for Assessing Scientific Merit of Projects Relating to Animal Use Protocols

BACKGROUND

The institution is responsible to have in place a mechanism to ensure that proposed animal use for research is independently peer-reviewed for its scientific merit before it is given final approval by the University Animal Care Committee (UACC) Animal Research Ethics Board (AREB) [Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC) policy statement on: the importance of independent peer review of the scientific merit of animal-based research projects, http://www.ccac.ca/en/standards.

PROCEDURES

All proposed animal-based research work must be peer reviewed for scientific merit, at arm's length from the UACC AREB. Scientific merit review can be conducted in parallel with AREB ethical review. However, the AREB will not approve new or 4-year renewal of animal use protocols (AUPs) until the AREB receives confirmation that scientific merit has been demonstrated through an independent peer review.

These procedures will be effective as of July 1, 2014.

Where funding sources for research projects have competitive peer review processes with appropriate independence and expertise to assess scientific merit (e.g. federal granting agencies such as CIHR, NSERC, NCI, Heart and Stroke, and others), the institution and the AREB will typically choose to accept these as providing evidence of scientific merit.

In cases where a project is funded from smaller foundations (local, national or international) or an industrial source where peer-review for scientific merit has been conducted, documentation regarding the dates of review, the principal investigator (PI) must provide information regarding the composition of the scientific panel and a brief description of the review process upon request. In the case of reviews completed within a private company, there should be at least two scientific experts on the peer review panel. For projects that are either internally funded (e.g., internal departmental/ faculty/institute funds) or when the source of funding does not have a peer review mechanism with appropriate independence and expertise, the peer review process outlined in these procedures will be used.

The OVPR Scientific Merit Review Committee for Animal Use Protocols (SMRC) will be responsible for ensuring that all proposed animal-based work has received peer review for scientific merit prior to approval of the protocol by the AREB. At the request of the PI, a non-disclosure or confidentiality agreement can be arranged with the peer reviewers. The SMRC will

be composed of three scientific members of the University of Saskatchewan faculty whose research involves the use of animals. One member will be the Chair of the committee.

Ideally, the scientific merit peer review process should be initiated prior to submission of the AUP to the AREB. The AREB will review AUPs in parallel with the scientific merit review process, but will not approve AUPs until scientific merit has been demonstrated through an independent peer review.

The PI must provide the Chair SMRC a copy of the AUP that includes sufficient information to allow the reviewer to provide an assessment on the following:

- a) The objectives and potential contribution(s) of the study to scientific knowledge
- b) Originality or novelty of the study
- c) The hypothesis or purpose of the study
- d) The research design and methodology
- e) The animal-based methods and justification of animal use, including sample size (and power analysis as appropriate)
- f) The overall scientific merit of the project

The PI must provide the following:

- 1. The names of 3 potential reviewers who are experts in their field and who can provide an unbiased assessment for scientific merit.
- 2. The names of any potential reviewers to whom the project information should not be sent, due to a conflict of interest.

Conflict of interest guidelines for selection of reviewers exclude individuals who:

- a) Have collaborated, published or been a co-applicant on a research or training grant with the applicant within the last 5 years,
- b) Been a student or supervisor of the applicant within the last 8 years,
- c) Are a close personal friend or relative of the applicant, or
- d) Have a long-standing scientific or personal difference with the applicant, are deemed to be in a conflict of interest and should not be part of the review process.

Coordinated by the Chair SMRC, two scientific peer reviews will be solicited. These experts can be the following:

- a) Chosen from a list provided by the PI.
- b) A member of the SMRC with appropriate expertise and does not collaborate with the PI.
- c) An internal reviewer who is a University of Saskatchewan researcher with expertise in the scientific area and does not collaborate with the PI.
- d) An external reviewer who is not a collaborator of the PI. Please refer to <u>The National Academies Press</u> definition of peer review for identification of appropriate potential reviewers.

Once the review forms are received by the Research Ethics Office (REO), if the two peer reviews are in agreement that the proposed project is meritorious, the reviews will be forwarded (without identifying the reviewers to ensure their anonymity is maintained) to the author of the AUP and copied to the Chair SMRC. If the peer reviewers have any questions or concerns, the REO will forward the reviews to the Chair SMRC, who will request that the PI provide a response, which will be forwarded to the peer reviewers via the Chair SMRC. This exchange of information will continue until the peer reviewers are able to make a decision regarding the scientific merit of the project.

The peer reviews (without identifying the reviewers) may be provided to the AREB upon the board's request. Only once the peer reviews have identified that scientific merit is established, may an AUP be approved by the AREB.

If both peer reviews are in agreement that the proposed project is meritorious, it will be deemed as such by the AREB. If the two peer reviews are in disagreement concerning the scientific merit of the project, the Chair SMRC will seek a third peer review. If two or more peer reviews indicate that the scientific merit and experimental design are not acceptable, then the AUP cannot be approved by the AREB, until the PI addresses all outstanding concerns.

The AREB has the option of requesting additional peer review on any submitted AUP, regardless of the agency funding and the status of peer review. This option is only used if there is a serious concern by the majority of the AREB members regarding the particulars of the animal model or experimental design not related directly to the merit of the general scientific content. In such instances, the Chair SMRC would be requested to acquire additional peer review on the protocol in question.

Appendix A provides supplementary information on the types of funding agencies and examples of types of projects, to assist in making judgements on the need for additional independent scientific peer review for merit.

Appendix B provides a specific list of funding agencies that are known to conduct scientific peer review for merit. AUPs funded by any agencies from this Appendix should not normally need to undergo peer review coordinated by the Chair SMRC. In cases where additional trials are added to a project that was previously peer reviewed, or if a modification is submitted which alters the study, the AREB has the option to request additional peer review for scientific merit on those new studies.

Approved by the UACC, 30 May 2014

Appendix A: Supplementary Information to UACC Procedures for Assessing Scientific Merit of Projects Relating to Animal Use Protocols

<u>Category 1 – Scientific Review for Merit Conducted by Funding Agency therefore Additional</u> Review Not Required

Research Animal Use Applications funded by:

- a) Major National Granting Agencies Taxpayer supported
- b) Major Charitable Granting Agencies National and Other
- c) Federal Government Granting Agencies
- d) Provincial Government Funding Support Agencies

Guideline:

1. All research animal use applications funded in whole, or in part, by a granting agency in this category will not require additional external scientific review for merit.

<u>Category 2 – Assessment Required of Need for External (Additional) Scientific Review for Merit</u>

Research Animal Use Applications funded by:

- a) Internal / Local Granting Agencies
- b) Commercial and Private Companies
- c) Livestock and Other Producer Interest Groups
- d) Miscellaneous Granting Agencies
- e) 'Unfunded'
- f) All applications with a Category of Invasiveness of "D" or "E" that are not already associated with funding from a major granting agency (Category 1, above)

Guidelines:

- 1. Any research animal use applications funded by an agency in this category (grant or contract) will require assessment whether additional external scientific review for merit should be done.
- 2. The assessment of whether additional external scientific review for merit will be required will be based on whether the funding body conducts scientific review for merit (e.g., the WCVM research funds), then seeking additional external scientific review for merit will not be required.
- 3. Where funding sources in this group are collaborative with, or associated with animal use protocols also funded by a funding agency(ies) in Category 1 (above), an additional external scientific review for merit will not be needed.

PEER REVIEWED FUNDING AGENCIES

INDEX

Α

Alberta Agricultural Research Institute

Alberta Cancer Board (ACB)

Alberta Children's Hospital Foundation

Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research

Alberta Ingenuity Fund

Alberta Innovation & Science - Science Awareness and Promotion (formerly ASRA)

Alberta Lung Association

Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation

Alzheimer Society of Canada

Alzheimer's Association

American Brain Tumor Association

Arthritis Society

Asthma Society of Canada

Autism Speaks

В

Banting Research Foundation

Bayer Blood Partnership Fund

Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd.

Brain Tumor Society

British Heart Foundation

Burroughs Wellcome Fund Awards

C

C17 Research Network

Calgary Health Region

Canada Foundation for Innovation

Canada Research Chairs Secretariat - CRC Program

Canadian Arthritis Network

Canadian Association of Gastroenterology

Canadian Breast Cancer Research Initiative Grants

Canadian Blood Services

Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation - Alberta Chapter

Canadian Breast Cancer Research Initiative

Canadian Cancer Society

Canadian Celiac Association

Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

Canadian Diabetes Association

Canadian Federation of University Women

Canadian Foundation for AIDS Research (CANFAR)

Canadian Foundation for the Study of Infant Deaths

Canadian Foundation on Fetal Alcohol Research

Canadian Health Services Research Foundation (CFI)

Canadian Hemophilia Society

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR)

Canadian Liver Foundation

Canadian Lung Association

Canadian National Institute for the Blind

Canadian Prostate Cancer Research Fund

Canadian Psychiatric Research Foundation

Canadian Swine Health Board (CSHB)

Canadian Stroke Network

Cancer Research Society

Christopher Reeve Paralysis Foundation

Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of Canada

Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

D

Dairy Farmers of Canada

Ε

EJLB Foundation (grants to enhance young scientific careers in Schizophrenia) Epilepsy Canada

F

Fight for Sight - Prevent Blindness America Food and Drug Administration (USA) Foundation for the Children's Oncology Group

G

Genome Canada

Government of Saskatchewan – Ministry of Agriculture: Agriculture Development Fund (ADF)

Н

Health Research Fund (Administered through AHFMR)
Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada
Hospital for Sick Children Foundation
Howard Hughes Medical Institute
Hotchkiss Brain Institute (HBI)
Human Frontier Science Program
Huntington Society of Canada

ı

International Rett Syndrome Foundation

J

Juvenile Diabetes Foundation International

Κ

Kidney Foundation of Canada Killam Programs

L

Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Leukemia Research Foundation Leukemia Research Fund of Canada London Life Award

Μ

March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation
Margaret Gunn Endowment for Animal Health Research
Medical Research Council of Canada (MRC) (see Canadian Institutes of Health Research)
Molly Towel Perinatal Foundation
MSI Foundation
Multiple Sclerosis Scientific Research Foundation
Multiple Sclerosis Society of Canada
Muscular Dystrophy Association

N

National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression National Brain Tumor Foundation National Cancer Institute of Canada National Institutes of Health National Tay-Sachs & Allied Diseases Association Inc Natural Science Engineering Research Council (NSERC)

0

Ontario Institute for Cancer Research Ontario Mental Health Foundation Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation Ovarian Cancer Canada

Ρ

Parker B. Francis Fellowships in Pulmonary Medicine Parkinson Foundation of Canada Pediatric Brain Tumor Foundation of the United States Physicians' Services Incorporated Foundation PrioNet Canada Prostate Cancer Research Foundation of Canada

R

Rx & D (formerly PMAC)

S

Saskatchewan Health Research Foundation (SHRF)
Savoy Foundation
Scottish Rite Charitable Foundation (Alzheimer's Disease)
Scleroderma Foundation
Spina Bifida and Hydrocephalus Association of Canada
Social Sciences Health Research Council (SSHRC)
Sylvia Fedoruk Canadian Centre for Nuclear Innovation

Т

The Arthritis Society
The Children's Heart Foundation
The Foundation Fighting Blindness
The Terry Fox Foundation
Thrasher Research Fund
Tourette Syndrome Association Inc.

U

United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation United States Department of Education University of Calgary (URGC)

W

Whitaker Foundation

Western College of Veterinary Medicine - Animal Welfare Fund

Western College of Veterinary Medicine – Companion Animal Health Fund

Western College of Veterinary Medicine – Townsend Equine Health Research Fund

Western College of Veterinary Medicine – Mark and Pat DuMont Equine Orthopedics Research Fund

Western College of Veterinary Medicine – Wildlife Health Research Fund Western Economic Diversification Canada (WD)

Winn Feline Foundation

Υ

Young Innovator Awards